To: binetti, victoria[binetti.victoria@epa.gov]

From: Capacasa, Jon

Sent: Mon 2/3/2014 8:22:35 PM **Subject:** FW: These are the quesations

FYI on Fran Burns response to a similar question about the status of EPA assistance on site.

Thanks for you and Bill helping to respond to the federal assistance bullet points we discussed.

----Original Message-----From: Ryan, Daniel

Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 3:07 PM

To: Capacasa, Jon

Subject: Fw: These are the quesations

From: Burns, Francis

Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 1:35:25 PM

To: Ryan, Daniel

Subject: RE: These are the guesations

The site is stabilized. WV Department of Environmental Protection has issued four orders to the Freedom Industries. The orders require the facility to collect the leaked chemical, empty all tanks on the facility, and dismantle all tanks. This work has started with the emptying of the leaked tank and its two companion tanks. The remaining 14 tanks are being systematically emptied. The collection trenches, sumps and collection piping are collecting the water and remaining chemical that was under the leaked tank. The snow and rain has generated considerable amounts of water through the facility, both surface and subsurface water. In the last 24 hours the facility has pumped approximately 100,000 gallons of water into temporary storage. The facility has also placed boom in the river adjacent to the facility to contain water and possible chemical from traveling downstream. The collection of water will continue until the tanks and underlying concrete pad can be removed and the subsurface excavated. EPA, WVDEP and the facility have sampled the water collected from several points on the site, which are being analyzed. The collection mechanisms have been effective in containing the water that continues to seep from the site.

EPA assistance at the facility has been helpful to WVDEP but now that the site is stabilized our presence is no longer needed. EPA is poised to takeover the cleanup in the event the facility can no longer fund the work.

----Original Message-----From: Ryan, Daniel

Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 1:07 PM

To: Burns, Francis

Subject: These are the quesations

it seems that we might be better poised to offer assistance if we knew more clearly what is the current state of the site. The daily reports have been helpful but it is hard to ascertain whether the site is really stabilized. Shawn, could you have your folks pull together what the current situation from a site stability and monitoring standpoint is (ie., do we know if the tank or the underlying containment is still leaking, what is the existing monitoring scheme, it the interceptor trench and boom working). I think that would help us inform what EPA can bring to the table to bear from a technical assistance perspective versus an independent scientific review.